

operational test, it is not enough. (1) The term "except purposes" as used in this section, means any purpose or purposes specified in Section 501(c)(3)....
"(b) Operational test. (1) Primary activities. An organization will be regarded as "operated exclusively" for one or more exempt purposes only if it is engaged primarily in activities which accomplish one or more of such exempt purposes specified in Section 501(c)(3). An organization will not be so regarded if more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose. (2) Distribution of earnings. An organization is not operated exclusively for one or more exempt purposes if its net earnings inure in whole or in part to the benefit of private inurement or individuals...."

Section 1.501(c)(3)-1(a) of the Income Tax Regulations provides that in order to be exempt as an organization described in Section 501(c)(3), the organization must be one that is both organized and operated exclusively for one or more of the purposes specified in that section. If an organization fails to meet either organizational or the operational test it is not exempt.

Section 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(1) of the Income Tax Regulations provides that "an organization will be regarded as 'operated exclusively' for one or more exempt purposes only if it engages primarily in activities which accomplish one or more of such exempt purposes specified in Section 501(c)(3). An organization will not be so regarded if more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of an exempt purpose."

Section 1.501(c)(3)-1(e)(1) of the Income Tax Regulations provides that an organization is not organized and operated exclusively for exempt purposes if it serves a public rather than a private interest. Thus, if an organization seeking exemption under Section 501(c)(3) is established that it is not organized and operated for the benefit of private interests such as business, industry, the creation of his family, shareholders or officers, or persons controlled, directly or indirectly, by any of them.

Revenue Ruling 73-349, 1973-1 CB 184, provides that an organization formed to provide for the lowest possible price of a product or service is not exempt as a social welfare organization under Section 501(c)(3) of the Code.

Your organization cannot qualify as exempt because your activities are not exclusively charitable and they do not benefit the entire community as a whole.

Your activities promote the private interests of your members as previously mentioned in Regulation Section 1.513(c)(1)(i)-(iii). In addition, the facts in your application are almost identical to the facts in the letter denying exemption in the aforementioned Revenue Ruling 77-138. Although the organization in the revenue ruling was denied exemption under Section 501(c)(3), the rationale for denial is the same as in the instant case, private benefit to a select group rather than a public benefit.

Accordingly, we have concluded that you are not entitled to recognition of exemption from Federal income tax under Section 501(c)(3) of the Code, since you are not organized and operated exclusively for charitable purposes, or other exempt purposes within the meaning of Section 501(c)(3).

You are required to file Federal Income Tax Returns.

Contributions made to you are not deductible by the donors as charitable contributions as defined in Section 171(c) of the Code.

If you do not agree with these conclusions, you may, within thirty days from the date of this letter, file a brief of the facts, law and arguments (in duplicate) which clearly sets forth your position. In the event you desire an oral discussion of the issues, you should so indicate in your submission. A conference will be arranged in the Regional Office after you have submitted your brief to the Chicago District Office and we have had an opportunity to consider the brief and its appeal. That the conclusions reached are still unfavorable to you, your submission must be signed by one of your principal officers. If the matter is to be handled by a representative, the conference and practice requirements regarding the filing of a power of attorney and evidence of enrollment to practice must be met. We have received Publication 992, Except Organizations Appeal Decisions, for Adverse Determinations, which explains in detail your rights and procedures.

If you do not protest this proposed determination in a timely manner, it will be considered final. In such event, your failure to exhaust available administrative remedies will constitute a failure to exhaust available administrative remedies.

7426(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code provides in part that a declaratory judgment may be granted where this section shall not be invoked in any other court, namely the Tax Court, the Court of Claims, or the District Court of the United States for the District of Columbia. Therefore, when the publication involved has exhausted administrative remedies available to it within the Internal Revenue Service.

If we do not hear from you within 90 days from the date of this letter, this determination will become final. In accordance with Code Section 6040, we will notify the appropriate state officials of this action.

Please keep this determination letter in your permanent records.

If you agree with this determination, please sign and return the enclosed Form 6018.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosure:
Publication 992
Form 6018