



SMALL BUSINESS/Self-EMPLOYED DIVISION

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20224

August 13, 2025

Control Number: SBSE-04-0825-0038
Expiration Date: 08-13-2027
Impacted IRM: 4.8.9

MEMORANDUM FOR AREA DIRECTORS, SB/SE FIELD EXAMINATION

FROM: Heather J. Yocum /s/ *Heather J. Yocum*
Director, Examination Field and Campus Policy

SUBJECT: Interim Guidance on Technical Services Penalty Review

Purpose: This memorandum provides interim guidance for Technical Services reviewing penalties prior to issuing a notice of deficiency until IRM 4.8.9, *Statutory Notices of Deficiency* is published. Please ensure this information is distributed to all affected employees within your organization.

Procedural Change: See Attachment 1 for procedural changes.

Effect on Other Documents: This guidance is effective immediately and will be incorporated into the impacted IRMs listed above by a date not to exceed two years from the date of this memorandum.

Contact: Ronald Zarriello, Program Manager, Examination Field and Campus Policy, Field Exam General Processes.

Attachment: Attachment 1 – IRM 4.8.9

Distribution: IRS.gov

Attachment 1 – IRM 4.8.9

4.8.9.8.4

Proper Consideration and Computation of Penalties

- (1) All appropriate penalties should be asserted and computed correctly.
- (2) The penalty lead sheet should comment on the assertion or non-assertion of applicable penalties, including reasonable cause situations.
- (3) The negligence portion of the accuracy-related penalty should not be applied automatically in a no-show case. See IRM 20.1.5.8.1(5), *Negligence*.
- (4) The substantial understatement portion of the accuracy-related penalty should be properly considered in a no-show case as discussed in IRM 20.1.5.9.2(6), *Penalty Assertion*.
- (5) For cases using Report Generation Software (RGS), the return filing date should be correctly input to ensure correct delinquency penalty computation, if applicable.
- (6) When required, the case file should include a copy of the immediate supervisor's or designated higher level official's written approval for the assertion of penalties (see IRM 20.1.5.2.3, *Supervisory Approval of Penalties – IRC 6751 Procedural Requirements*, penalty approval requirements, and IRM 20.1.5.2.3.1(3), *Documenting Supervisory Approval of Penalties*, for examples of acceptable written approval formats).

Reminder: Two and ten year bans on claiming certain credits require supervisory approval of penalties. See IRM 20.1.5.3.5, *Two and Ten Year Bans on Claiming the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), Child Tax Credit (CTC), Additional Child Tax Credit (ACTC), and American Opportunity Tax Credit (AOTC)*.

- (7) If the case file lacks a copy of the written penalty approval (when required, see IRM 20.1.5.2.3), the reviewer should secure a copy from the examiner or the examiner's supervisor.

Reminder: For penalties assessed on or after December 23, 2024, written supervisory approval must be obtained on or before the date the pre-assessment notice is mailed (see Treas. Reg. 301.6751(b)-1, *Supervisory and higher level official approval for penalties*).

- (8) The reviewer's immediate supervisor or higher level official will approve additional penalties before issuing the notice of deficiency when the reviewer identifies and asserts a penalty (requiring supervisory approval) the examiner didn't assert (e.g., negligence as an alternative position to substantial understatement).
- (9) A copy of the written penalty approval must be attached to all statutory notices of deficiency (SNOD) issued to the taxpayer where penalties requiring supervisory approval are asserted.
- (10) Refer to the following for guidance:
- (11) IRC 6751(b), *Approval of assessment*.
- (12) IRM 20.1.5.2.3, *Supervisory Approval of Penalties - IRC 6751 Procedural*

Requirements.

- IRM 20.1.5.4, *Examination Penalty Assertion*.

4.8.9.8.7

Proper Managerial Involvement

(1) Removed

(2) Removed